



Summary Report and Recommendations of the Results Management & Knowledge Dissemination Subgroup

Bernice A. Pescosolido, Subgroup Chair

Presentation at the Transformation Workgroup Meeting
November 14, 2006



Four Areas of Recommendations from Results Management and Knowledge Dissemination Subgroup

- CSR
- EBP
- RMS
- Dissemination



Core Functions of RM & KD Subgroup

- Oversight and system monitoring of data collection/outcome information for DMHA system evaluation
- Recommendation for continuous monitoring of innovation dissemination options to maximize stakeholder information and choice




Recommendation 1: CSR and MHA System Evaluation

- a) DMHA should do a systematic, qualitative, and quantitative evaluation of stakeholder response to the CSR
- b) If the DMHA concludes that CSR is a necessary component of MHA system evaluation (based on CSR Assessment Study), the State should devise a regular and routine structure for staff training and review activities outside of the regular monetary resources going to CMHCs and other entities



Recommendation 2: Evidence-Based Practices

- DMHA should develop and support a structure to identify, disseminate, implement, and evaluate EBPs
 - Create Office of Process, Policy & Practice
 - Evaluate TA Centers' capacity and ability to support EBP monitoring and transfer



Recommendation 3: Ensure that algorithms/metrics/etc. developed are reviewed by stakeholder groups and incorporate DMHA evaluation innovations

- Ensure consumer evaluation of Results Management Subgroup plan
- Re-evaluate RM plan once CSR outcome is determined



Recommendation 4: Dissemination efforts should be catalogued and reviewed

- Move toward “multi-method,” stakeholder-oriented dissemination efforts
- Organize a yearly structure of “workshops” to inform stakeholders (e.g., similar to Indiana’s IWW model or Michigan’s “data parties”)